



ANEF – Resolution

The supporters – participants of the ANEF conference on June 17th 2009 in Linz conclude:

1) No sustainable energy form

Because of its serious safety risks as well as other disadvantages nuclear power is not a sustainable form of energy. Therefore the signatories call for an all-European nuclear phase-out on the shortest possible term.

2) No financial support for nuclear power stations

The signatories categorically dismiss all attempts to create a nuclear renaissance under the guise of climate protection. Measures to abate climate change should not lead to any financial support for nuclear power – also not indirectly.

3) No economical efficiency

The urgency of climate change demands an efficient use of available financial means, aiming for fast and significant effects. Investments in the construction of new nuclear power stations are economically inefficient. They hinder the deployment of more efficient instruments to reduce greenhouse gases like energy efficiency, co-generation or the forced expansion of renewable energy sources.

4) Nuclear in competition with renewables for grid space

Large-scale inflexible centralised electricity generators like nuclear power stations are in modern grid management in direct competition for grid space and flexibility with rapidly growing renewable energy sources. Uptake of more nuclear power in the electricity mix will hinder the development of a truly low-carbon energy structure. Only the phase-out of large-scale electricity generators will deliver the flexible grid needed for a 100% renewable future.

5) Tax for nuclear power

We demand the introduction of a tax on nuclear power in the EU to prevent windfall profits for the dirty form of energy that nuclear power is from trade in emission certificates. The proceeds of this tax should be earmarked for an EU-wide support programme for energy efficiency. The level of tax should be comparable with the costs of emission certificates necessary for a lignite power station of the same capacity.

6) No propaganda

The signatories agree that the European Nuclear Energy Forum (ENEF) does not fulfil its mandate for an open discussion “without taboos” on *all* aspects of nuclear energy. The ENEF process has repeatedly been abused for nuclear propaganda. We call therefore on the European Commission to recognise the Anti-Nuclear European Forum (ANEF) as a discussion forum of equal importance and divide budget means equally between both to secure a balanced discussion on *all* aspects of nuclear energy use.